Draft ID: 3b085faa-4534-486e-8046-bb3895056009

Date: 05/04/2022 15:30:24

Irish

Italian

Latvian

Maltese

Polish

Lithuanian

Portuguese

Romanian

Slovak

# Sustainable consumption of goods – promoting the right to repair and reuse

the right to repair and reuse	
Fields marked with * are mandatory.	
Introduction	
About you	
*Language of my contribution	
Bulgarian	
Croatian	
Czech	
Danish	
Dutch	
English	
Estonian	
Finnish	
French	
German	
Greek	
Hungarian	

Slovenian
Spanish
Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
© EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*First name
Sophia
*Surname
Krügel
Krugei
*Email (this won't be published)
sophia.kruegel@independentretaileurope.eu
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Independent Retail Europe
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)

Large (250 or more)

# Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

034546859-02	
--------------	--

*Country of origin						
Please add your country of orig	jin,	or that of your organisation	n.			
Afghanistan	0	Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	0	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	©	Dominican Republic	©	Lithuania	©	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
American Samoa		Egypt		Macau		San Marino
Andorra		El Salvador	©	Madagascar	0	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a 🔘	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
<ul><li>Antigua and</li><li>Barbuda</li></ul>	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles
Argentina	0	Ethiopia	0	Malta	0	Sierra Leone
Armenia	0	Falkland Islands	0	Marshall Islands	0	Singapore
Aruba	0	Faroe Islands	0	Martinique	0	Sint Maarten
Australia	0	Fiji	0	Mauritania	0	Slovakia
Austria		Finland		Mauritius	0	Slovenia
Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
Bahamas		French Guiana	0	Mexico		Somalia
Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
Bangladesh	©	French Southern and Antarctic Lands		Moldova	©	South Georgia and the South Sandwich

Islands

0	Barbados		Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
0	Belarus		Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
0	Belgium		Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
0	Belize		Ghana	0	Montserrat	0	Sri Lanka
	Benin		Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
	Bermuda		Greece	0	Mozambique	0	Suriname
	Bhutan		Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma	0	Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
0	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia	0	Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	0	Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and		Guam	0	Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
	Brazil		Guinea	0	New Zealand		Tanzania
	British Indian		Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
	British Virgin	0	Guyana	0	Niger		The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and	0	Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island		Tokelau
	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
				_	Mariana Islands		
	Cambodia	0	Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
(iii)	Cameroon	0	Iceland	0	North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
(iii)	Canada	0	India	0	Norway	0	Turkey
0	Cape Verde	0	Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands

	Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad	0	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile	0	Isle of Man	0	Panama	0	Ukraine
	China		Israel		Papua New		United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines	0	United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
0	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
	Comoros		Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
0	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
							Futuna
0	Curaçao		Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus		Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia		Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
					Ascension and		
					Tristan da Cunha		
	Democratic		Lesotho		Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the				Nevis		
	Congo						
0	Denmark	0	Liberia	0	Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

## Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

# Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

#### Introduction

In order to promote the circular economy and sustainable consumption, and to avoid unnecessary waste, the period during which consumers can usefully use their products is key. This period is influenced by the product design and its use. Products can be used for a long time only if they are designed in a durable way and if they are used for as long as possible. The first aspect is addressed by the Commission's 'sustainable product initiative' (SPI), which aims at setting binding requirements for producers to ensure that products are designed to be durable and repairable. The second aspect relies on the consumer's willingness to use the product for a long time and to avoid early replacement or disposal.

Studies show that one of the main causes for premature disposal of goods lies in the difficulties to repair broken products. The 'right to repair' initiative aims at addressing this problem by providing consumers with incentives to encourage sustainable use of products, and increase their ability to repair defective goods.

For this reason, the Commission will be looking into measures that promote repair within and beyond the legal guarantee period.

\*Q1. The time during which consumers use their goods has an impact on the environment. In particular, replacing goods that could be repaired and used for a longer time might adversely affect the environment. Please indicate if you agree with any of the following statements.

at most 1 choice(s)

■ The time during which most consumer goods are used decreased over the last
decade.
The time during which most consumer goods are used increased over the las
decade.
The time during which most consumer goods are used has not significantly
changed over the last decade.
No opinion
1.2. In your opinion, what are the causes of the decreased lifespan of consumer

Q1.2. In your opinion, what are the causes of the decreased lifespan of consumer goods? Please indicate whether any of the following reasons has caused the decreased lifespan, assuming that products are repairable.

at least 1 answered row(s)

	Not a cause	Minor cause	Major cause	No opinion
* Repair services for consumer goods are expensive.	0	•	0	0
* Repair services are not available or convenient for consumers (e.g. repair takes too long, no replacement good available during repair).	0	•	0	0
* For defective goods under the legal guarantee, sellers reject repair and only offer a replacement with new ones.	•	0	0	0
Consumers replace goods in view of latest fashion, technological developments or new features.	0	0	•	0
* It is difficult for consumers to repair products themselves.	0	0	•	0

If you think that there are other causes of the decreased lifespan of consumer goods, please specify which ones:

200 character(s) maximum

Today, approximately a third of large household devices is replaced even though there is no defect. The reasons are additional functions, increased energy efficiency or an improved design.

Q2. Please indicate whether you agree that the following objectives should be pursued in order to promote sustainable consumption.

at least 1 answered row(s)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
* Provide incentives to repair products instead of replacing them in the case of defects that are covered by the legal guarantee.	0	0	0	•	0

* Provide incentives to repair products instead of buying new ones in the case of defects that are not covered by the legal guarantee (e.g. when the legal guarantee period expired or the defect did not exist at the time of delivery).	•	•	•	•	•
* Provide incentives to buy and use second-hand goods.	0	0	•	0	0
* Provide incentives to buy and use refurbished goods (i.e. second-hand goods that have been tested and, if necessary, repaired before they are sold).	0	0	•	0	0

Q3. Please indicate whether the following objectives should be achieved at EU or national level. You can choose only one answer per each objective. Please select 'Not applicable' if you think that these objectives should not be pursued at either EU or national level.

at least 4 answered row(s)

	EU	National	Not applicable	No opinion
* Provide incentives to repair products instead of replacing them in the case of defects that are covered by the legal guarantee.	0	0	•	0
* Provide incentives to repair products instead of buying new ones in the case of defects that are not covered by the legal guarantee (e.g. when the legal guarantee period expired or the defect did not exist at the time of delivery).	0	•	•	0
* Provide incentives to buy and use second-hand goods.	0	0	•	0
* Provide incentives to buy and use refurbished goods (i.e. second-hand goods that have been tested and, if necessary, repaired before they are sold).	0	0	•	0

# Measures related to the legal guarantee framework (Sale of Goods Directive)

When goods turn out to be defective at the time of delivery, consumers can rely on the legal guarantee and ask the seller for a free remedy (repair or replacement). The legal guarantee means that, for at least 2 years from delivery, sellers are liable for defects that existed at the time of delivery. Member States can apply a period longer than 2 years, if they wish.

In addition to the legal guarantee, consumers can rely on a commercial guarantee in certain situations. The producer or the seller can decide to provide such a commercial guarantee, where the conditions depend on the concrete terms of the guarantee statement. The current legal framework for the legal and commercial guarantee is regulated by the Sale of Goods Directive (EU) 2019/771 ("Directive").

The Commission is considering a number of measures focused on promoting sustainable use of goods, e. g. through incentivising repair under the legal guarantee period and promoting the use of second-hand goods. These could lead to a possible amendment of the Directive. This section of the questionnaire aims at gathering opinions about such possible measures. Please carefully read the list below before answering the questions that follow (please note that this is not an exhaustive list).

#### 1. Repair as the primary remedy

Under the Directive, consumers can choose between having defective products repaired or replaced. The Directive could be amended so that repair would be the primary remedy and consumers would only be able to replace the defective product if repair is not possible.

# 2. Determining the consumer's remedy when the repair cost is less than or equal to the replacement cost

Under the Directive, consumers can choose between having defective products repaired or replaced. The consumer's choice is only restricted when the chosen remedy is impossible, or if it imposes disproportionate costs compared with the other remedy. The Directive could clarify that the costs for replacement are disproportionate if they are higher than or equal to the cost of repair, thereby determining repair as the consumer's remedy when its cost is less than or equal to the replacement cost.

#### 3. Re-starting the legal guarantee period after repair

If a product is defective, under the Directive, consumers can choose whether to repair or replace the product. To encourage consumers to choose repair, the legal guarantee period could be restarted after the repair i.e. the consumer would have an additional legal guarantee of a minimum of 2 years after the product is repaired.

#### 4. Longer legal guarantee period

The minimum two-year legal guarantee period allows consumers to claim from sellers a repair or replacement of a defective product. The legal guarantee period could be further extended for both these remedies.

#### 5. Same legal guarantee period for new and second-hand goods and/or refurbished goods

The Directive gives Member States the possibility to allow consumers and sellers to agree on a shorter liability period for second-hand goods but not less than 1 year. To promote the sale of second-hand goods, the legal guarantee period for second-hand goods could be the same as for the newly produced goods (minimum 2 years).

Buying refurbished goods (i.e. second-hand goods that have been repaired and tested) can extend the period of time during which a product is used before it is discarded. As for second-hand goods, the legal guarantee period could be the same for refurbished and for new goods.

#### 6. Replacement of defective products with refurbished goods

When a product becomes defective and the consumer would like to have it replaced, the seller would be allowed to offer a refurbished product as a replacement.

7. **Voluntary business commitments to repair goods** with a significant negative impact on the environment and promote the purchase of second-hand and refurbished goods.

# Q4. Which of the following measures would be most effective in **extending the use period of goods, once purchased**? Please rate the effectiveness of each measure below.

at least 8 answered row(s)

	Very ineffective	Rather ineffective	Neutral	Rather effective	Very effective
* Repair as the primary remedy	•	0	0	0	0
* Determining the consumer's remedy when the repair cost is less than or equal to the replacement cost	0	0	0	•	0
* Re-starting the legal guarantee period after repair	•	0	0	0	0
* Longer legal guarantee period	0	0	•	0	0
* Same legal guarantee period for new and second-hand goods	0	0	•	0	0
* Same legal guarantee period for new and refurbished goods	0	0	•	0	0
* Replacement of defective products with refurbished goods	0	0	•	0	0
* Encouraging businesses to voluntarily commit to repairing goods and promoting second-hand/refurbished goods	0	•	0	0	0

## If you consider that other measures would be effective, please specify which ones:

200 character(s) maximum

The effectiveness will depend on characteristics of the goods, Measures need to differentiate. A right to be informed about possibilities of repair (incl. contact details of any repair shop)

# Measures on the right to repair

The Directive gives consumers a right to have the defective product repaired or replaced but only when a defect is present at the time of delivery and becomes apparent within the legal guarantee period (in most Member States this means 2 years). In addition, only certain defects give a right to repair. For example, if the product is not functioning as advertised on the product packaging, the consumer has a right to a free repair. However, if the consumer accidentally drops a product on the ground, the resulting defect will not be covered by the legal guarantee.

Therefore, the Commission is considering to establish a new consumer right to claim repair for situations that are not covered by the current legal guarantee framework, for example, when a defect became apparent after 2 years or did not exist at the time of delivery but was caused by normal wear and tear or by mishandling of the product.

*Q5. Which of the following product categories should be covered by a new right to
repair? You can choose more than one answer.
at least 1 choice(s)
All consumer product categories
Electronics
Large household appliances
Small household appliances
✓ Vehicles
Textiles
Furniture
Other
*Q6. In which situations should a new right to repair apply? Please tick all that apply
Where defects are caused by the consumer before the end of the legal guarantee
Where defects are not caused by the consumer but are the result of wear and tear
Where defects occur after the legal guarantee expires
☑ Other
If other, please specify:
200 character(s) maximum
Where defects are not caused by the consumer but are the result of normal wear and tear and during the legal gurantee.
*Q7. A new consumer right to repair could allow consumers to claim repair of goods
during a period of time. In your opinion, what should be the duration of this period?
You can choose more than one answer.
at least 1 choice(s)
$^{\square}$ The duration should be the same fixed period for all consumer goods
$^{oxdot{\hspace{-0.05cm}$arphi$}}$ The duration should depend on the type of product
A minimum duration should be set by law and longer periods should be a

competing factor on the market

The duration should differ based on the cause of the defect

Other

### If other, please specify:

200 character(s) maximum

The duration should depend on the type of product and the type of defect.

If there is longer warranty period they may not be a right to full replacement.

Q8. Which of the following repair options do you prefer? Please rate the following options from 1 (least preferred) to 4 (most preferred).

at least 4 answered row(s)

	1	2	3	4
* Repair by the manufacturer	0	0	0	•
* Repair by the seller	•	0	0	0
* Repair by an independent repairer	0	0	•	0
* Self-repair by the consumer	0	•	0	0

- \*Q9. If there is an obligation to repair, who should be obliged to repair products? You can choose only one answer:
  - Repair service of the manufacturer
  - Repair service of the seller
  - Both the manufacturer and the seller
- \*Q10. In the previous question, you have indicated who should be obliged to repair products. Please indicate the main reasons for your choice. Please tick all the options that apply.

at least 1 choice(s)

- This person is easier to find/access
- This person will handle the repair faster
- The person would provide a better quality repair
- The overall economic burden is better placed on that person than on other persons
- \*Q11. Repairing any product incurs costs. Currently, consumers must pay for repairs not covered under the Directive. A possible new right to repair could place the repair costs on the manufacturer or the seller, potentially increasing the final purchase price. It could also restrict the profit margin of repair. In your opinion,

what would be a reasonable price of repair for consumers under a possible new right to repair? You can choose only one answer.

- The repair should always be free, even if it means that the purchase price of goods increase.
- The price of repair should cover the costs of the repair (e.g. labour costs, cost of spare parts).
- The price of repair should cover the cost of repair and include a reasonable margin of profit.
- Other

# Other measures to promote sustainable use of goods

In order to achieve the objective of extending the useful life of goods, the Commission is also looking to receive feedback on other potential measures. Such measures could be both legislative and non-legislative.

Q12. Companies need equipment to run their offices and factories and the duration of use of this equipment has an impact on the environment, too. In your opinion, which factors influence companies' decisions whether to repair or replace goods?

at least 5 answered row(s)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Cost of repair	0	0	0	0	•
Availability of repair	0	0	0	0	•
Business continuity (e.g. time needed for repair or replacement)	0	0	0	0	•
Tax/accounting considerations	0	•	0	0	0
Increased efficiency of newer products	0	0	0	0	•

If you think that other factors influence companies' decision whether to repair or replace goods, please specify which ones:

200 character(s) maximum

Characteristics of products (high end with long life cycle) Producer must indicate expected life span Shipping, storage of spare parts, bureaucratic costs, risk of legal dispute with customer

#### Q13.

In the previous question, you have indicated the factors that influence company decisions whether to repair or replace the goods. Please explain in what way the factor(s) you chose influence the decision-making.

If a consumer paid the price of a new product, he expects a new product as replacement within the legal guarantee. He might even legally dispute a decision to repair as he paid the higher price for a new product. For some products, the costs of assessing the economic viability of a repair will exceed the costs of the repair. This can be time consuming and expensive. Consumers expect a quick solution. A retailer may not be able to assess if a repair is economically more viable than a replacement, he needs to consult the manufacturer. This process should be unbureaucratic to avoid unnecessary costs. Extended legal guarantees are likely to make consumers handle products with less due care. The longer the period, the greater the chance a defect is caused by a consumer. Moreover, manufacturers will increase prices to include the entrepreneurial risk. Restarting the guarantee after the repair is equal to an infinite guarantee and makes it difficult for manufacturers to calculate this risk.

# Q14. Do you have other suggestions about how to influence the current relationship between consumers and businesses with the aim of extending the useful life of goods?

1000 character(s) maximum

A justified and reasonable division of costs of repairs is key. Retailers are not responsible for defects and should not bear the cost of repair. The consumer should bear the cost outside the legal guarantee. Repair shops set the prices independently. Legislators should not intervene in the market by reducing the price for repair services

The consumer should maintain the right to choose between repair or replacement. If the consumer pays the (higher) price of a new product, he expects a new product. An extended guarantee should require periodic inspections

In case of doubt, the repair service/retailer needs to be able refer to the manufacturer, who knows the product best and can best decide on a repair or replacement

A possible alternative solution to a right of repair/replacement outside the legal guarantee period, in case of a defect caused by the consumer or by normal wear and tear, would be a right of information on possible repair solutions (with a list of repair shops).

Do you have any relevant documents or resources you would like to share with us? If so, please share using the following upload button:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

#### Contact

JUST-A2@ec.europa.eu